# Mathematical foundations of infinite-dimensional statistical models

#### Chapter 3.5 Metric entropy bounds for suprema of empirical processes Chapter 3.5.1 Bracketing 1: The expectation bound

Presented by Ilsang Ohn

December 28, 2018

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 王 ト 4 王 ト 王 - ク へ ペ 1/14

# Bracketing numbers

**Definition** (The first definition of the bracketing number). For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $L^p(\mathsf{P})$ -bracketing number  $N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^p(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon)$  of  $\mathcal{F} \subset L^p(\mathsf{P})$  is defined as the smallest cardinality of any partition  $B_1, \ldots, B_N$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\mathsf{P}\left[\left(\sup_{f,g\in B_{i}}|f-g|\right)^{*}\right]^{p} \leq \epsilon^{p} \text{ for every } i=1,\ldots,N.$$

 $g^*$  denotes a measurable cover of a nonnegative, not necessarily measurable function g. Proposition 3.7.1 guarantees its existence.

・ロト・日本 = ト・= 「「」、 2/14

### Bracketing numbers

Р[(

**Definition** (The second definition of the bracketing number). For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $L^{p}(\mathsf{P})$ -bracketing number  $N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon)$  of  $\mathcal{F} \subset L^{p}(\mathsf{P})$  is defined as the smallest cardinality of any pairs of the functions  $(f_i^L, f_i^U)$ , i = 1, ..., N with  $f_i^L \leq F_i^U$  and  $P(f_i^U - f_i^L)^p \leq \epsilon^p$  such that for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that  $f_i^L \leq f \leq f_i^U$ .

**Proposition**. The two definitions are equivalent:

$$N_{[]}^{2nd}(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), 2\epsilon) \leq N_{[]}^{1st}(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon) \leq N_{[]}^{2nd}(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon).$$
PROOF Let  $B_{i} = [f_{i}^{L}, f_{i}^{U}]$ . Then  $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}$  is a partition of  $\mathcal{F}$  with
$$P\left[\left(\sup_{f,g\in B_{i}} |f-g|\right)^{*}\right]^{p} = P(f_{i}^{U} - f_{i}^{L})^{p} \leq \epsilon^{p}.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ○ □ ● ○ ○ ○ 3/14

Let  $(f_i^L, f_i^O) = f_i \pm \sup_{f,g \in B_i} |f - g|$  for  $f_i \in B_i$ . Then  $\mathsf{P}(f_i^U - f_i^L)^p = 2^p \mathsf{P}(\sup_{f,g \in B_i} |f - g|)^p \le (2\epsilon)^p$ 

#### Bracketing numbers and covering numbers

**Proposition**. For any  $p \in [0, \infty)$ ,

 $N(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon) \leq N_{[]}^{1st}(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon) \leq N_{[]}^{2nd}(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon) \leq N(\mathcal{F}, L^{\infty}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon/2).$ 

PROOF Let  $f_i = f_i^L$ . Then for any f, there is  $f_i$  such that  $P \| f - f_i \|^p \le P \| f_i^U - f_i^L \|^p \le \epsilon^p$ . Let  $(f_i^L, f_i^U) = f_i \pm \epsilon/2$  where  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, ..., N(\mathcal{F}, L^{\infty}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon/2)$  is the minimal  $\epsilon/2$ -covering set.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ● の へ ● 4/14

#### Maximal inequality with the bracketing number

**Theorem 3.5.13** Let P be a probability measure on (S, S) and for any  $n \in N$ , and let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be an independent sample of size n from P. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of measurable functions on S that admits a P-square integrable envelope F and satisfies the  $L^2(P)$ -bracketing condition

$$\int_{0}^{2} \sqrt{\log \mathsf{N}_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, \mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathsf{P}), \tau \| \mathsf{F} \|_{\mathsf{L}^{2}(\mathsf{P})})} \mathsf{d}\tau < \infty$$

Set  $\sigma^2 := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathsf{P} f^2$  and

$$\mathsf{a}(\delta) := rac{\delta}{\sqrt{32\log(2N_{[]}(\mathcal{F},L^2(\mathsf{P}),\delta/2))}}$$

Then for any  $\delta > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(X_i) - \mathbb{P}f) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{*} \leq 56\sqrt{n} \int_{0}^{2\delta} \sqrt{\log(2N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^{2}(\mathbb{P}), \tau))} d\tau + 4n\mathbb{P}(F\mathbb{1}(F > \sqrt{n}a(\delta)) + \sqrt{n\sigma^{2}\log(2N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^{2}(\mathbb{P}), \delta))} \right|$$
(1)

# Compared to Theorem 3.5.4

$$\nu_n(f) = \sqrt{n}(\mathsf{P}_n - \mathsf{P})f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - \mathsf{P}f)$$

Theorem 3.5.4 (Remark 3.5.5)

$$\mathsf{E} \|\nu_n\|_{\mathcal{F}}^* \lesssim \|F\|_{L^2(\mathsf{P})} \int_0^1 \sup_{\substack{Q: \text{finitely discrete}}} \sqrt{\log\left\{2N\left(\mathcal{F}, L^2(Q), \tau \|F\|_{L^2(Q)}\right)\right\}} \mathsf{d}\tau$$

Theorem 3.5.13 (Remark 3.5.14)

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E} \|\nu_{n}\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{*} &\lesssim \|F\|_{L^{2}(\mathsf{P})} \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\log\left\{2\mathsf{N}_{[]}\left(\mathcal{F}, L^{2}(\mathsf{P}), \tau \|F\|_{L^{2}(\mathsf{P})}\right)\right\}} \mathsf{d}\tau \\ &= \int_{0}^{\|F\|_{L^{2}(\mathsf{P})}} \sqrt{\log\left\{2\mathsf{N}_{[]}\left(\mathcal{F}, L^{2}(\mathsf{P}), \tau\right)\right\}} \mathsf{d}\tau \end{split}$$

・ロト ・ (日)・ (王)・ (王) 「 (つ)、 (0)、 (0)、 (14)

The two bounds are incomparable in general.

# Proof of Theorem 3.5.13

SKETCH OF PROOF. First we divide the function f into two parts  $f\mathbb{1}(F \leq \sqrt{na}(\delta))$  and  $f\mathbb{1}(F > \sqrt{na}(\delta))$ . The second, we can obtain

$$\mathsf{E} \|\nu_n \mathbb{1}(F > \sqrt{n}\mathsf{a}(\delta))\|_{\mathcal{F}}^* \leq 2\sqrt{n}\mathsf{P}(F\mathbb{1}(F > \sqrt{n}\mathsf{a}(\delta))).$$

We can now assume that every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is bounded by  $\sqrt{na}(\delta)$ . We combine two devices: a chaining argument and maximal inequalities for finite maxima.

**A** chaining argument Define two indicator functions  $A_k f$  and  $B_k f$  and decompose f as

$$f - \pi_q f = \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} (f - \pi_k f) B_k f + \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} (\pi_k f - \pi_{k-1} f) A_{k-1} f$$

where  $P|f - \pi_k f|^2 \le (2^{-k})^2$ .

#### Proof of Theorem 3.5.13

**Lemma 3.5.12 (Maximal inequality for finite maxima)**. Let *X*, *X<sub>i</sub>*, *i* = 1,..., *n*, be independent *S*-valued random variables with common probability law P, and let  $f_1, \ldots, f_N$  be measurable real functions on *S* such that  $\max_{1 \le r \le N} \|f_r - Pf_r\|_{\infty} \le c < \infty$  and  $\sigma^2 = \max_{1 \le r \le N} \operatorname{var}(f_r(X))$ . Then

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\max_{1\leq r\leq N}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}(f_{r}(X_{i})-\mathsf{P}f_{r})\right|\right]\leq \sqrt{2n\sigma^{2}\log(2N)}+\frac{c}{3}\log(2N)$$

Applying Lemma 3.5.12 Let  $N_k := \log N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^2(\mathsf{P}), 2^{-k})$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E} \left\| \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \nu_n \left( (f - \pi_k f) \mathcal{B}_k f \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^* &\leq \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \mathsf{E} \left\| \nu_n \left( (f - \pi_k f) \mathcal{B}_k f \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^* \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} \left[ a_{k-1} \log(2N_k) + 2^{-k} \sqrt{\log(2N_k)} + 2^{-2k+2} / a_k \right] \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \sqrt{\log(2N_k)} \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^{2^{-(q+1)}} \sqrt{\log(2N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^2(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon))} \mathsf{d}\tau. \end{split}$$

We can also bound  $\sum_{k=q+1}^{\infty} (\pi_k f - \pi_{k-1} f) A_{k-1}$  and  $\pi_q f$ .

# Maximal Inequalities for small functions

If the class  ${\cal F}$  is uniformly bounded, then the bound in Theorem 3.5.13 can be improved.

**Theorem 3.5.15** Assume that  $\|F\|_{\infty} < \infty$  and  $Pf^2 \leq \delta$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\mathsf{E} \|\nu_n\|_{\mathcal{F}}^* \leq J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L^2(\mathsf{P})) \left(1 + \frac{J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L^2(\mathsf{P}))}{\delta^2 \sqrt{n}} \|F\|_{\infty}\right)$$
(2)

・ロト・日ト・モト・モート モージュで 9/14

where we denote

$$J_{[]}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, L^{2}(\mathsf{P})) = \int_{0}^{2\delta} \sqrt{\log(2N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^{2}(\mathsf{P}), \tau))} d\tau.$$

## Example: Monotone functions

**Proposition 3.5.17**. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the class of monotone functions  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [a, b]$ . Then there is an universal constant A > 0 such that

$$\log N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon) \leq A\epsilon^{-1},$$

for every  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and probability measure P on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Application to density estimation (Example 3.4.5 of [3]). Suppose that the observations are sampled from a nonincreasing density on a compact interval in the real line and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the collection of such densities. Then the MLE  $\hat{p}$  over suitable sieves satisfies

$$\sup_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathsf{E}\|\hat{p}-p\|_{L^2(p)} \lesssim n^{-1/3}.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ○ □ ◆ ○ ○ 10/14

### Example: Smooth functions

**Corollary 2.7.2 of [3].** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a bounded, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with nonempty interior. Let  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta,M}(\mathcal{X})$  be the class of Hölder  $\beta$ -smooth functions whose Hölder norms are less than or equal to M. Then there is an universal constant A > 0 such that

$$\log N_{[]}(\mathcal{H}^{\beta,M}(\mathcal{X}), L^{p}(\mathsf{P}), \epsilon) \leq A\epsilon^{-d/\beta},$$

for every  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and probability measure P on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

**Application to binary classification [1].** Assume that  $(\mathbf{x}, y) \sim P$  where P is a distribution on  $[0, 1]^d \times \{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $\eta(\mathbf{x}) = P(y = 1|\mathbf{x})$ . Then, the ERM classifier  $\hat{f}$  over suitable sieves satisfies

$$\sup_{\eta \in \mathcal{H}^{\beta, \mathcal{M}}([0,1]^d)} \mathsf{E}\left[\mathsf{P}(y\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}) < 0) - \mathsf{P}(y\eta(\mathbf{x}) < 0)\right] \lesssim n^{-1/(2+d/\beta)}$$

<□▶ <□▶ < ≧▶ < ≧▶ ≤ ≧ - りへで 11/14

#### The peeling method to derive rates

For a given loss function  $\ell : \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , we let

$$\mathcal{E}(f,f') := \mathsf{P}\{\ell(f,X) - \ell(f',X)\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_n(f,f') := \mathsf{P}_n\{\ell(f,X_i) - \ell(f',X_i)\}.$$

We assume that a function  $f^* := \operatorname{argmin}_f P\ell(f, X)$  lies on the sieves  $\mathcal{F}_n$  (i.e., no approximation error). Also assume that for any  $f, f' \in \mathcal{F}_n$  (see [2]),

$$d^2(f, f^*) \leq \mathcal{E}(f, f^*)$$
  
 $\operatorname{Var}(\ell(f, X) - \ell(f', X)) \leq d^2(f, f').$ 

We let

$$\mathcal{F}_{n,j} := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{F}_n : 2^{j-1} \epsilon_n \leq d(f, f^*) < 2^j \epsilon_n \right\}.$$

For the ERM (or ML) estimator  $\hat{f}_n$ , we have that

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}\left(d(\hat{f}_n, f^{\star}) \geq \epsilon_n\right) &\leq \mathsf{P}\left(\sup_{f:d(f, f^{\star}) \geq \epsilon_n} \mathcal{E}_n(f^{\star}, f) \geq 0\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{P}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{n,j}} \mathcal{E}_n(f^{\star}, f) - \mathcal{E}(f^{\star}, f) \geq \mathcal{E}(f, f^{\star})\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{P}\left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{n,j}} \mathcal{E}_n(f^{\star}, f) - \mathcal{E}(f^{\star}, f) \geq 4^{j-1}\epsilon_n^2\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{j-1}\epsilon_n^2} \mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{n,j}} \left(\mathcal{E}_n(f^{\star}, f) - \mathcal{E}(f^{\star}, f)\right)\right] \end{split}$$

#### The peeling method to derive convergence rates

Since 
$$\operatorname{Var}(\ell(f, X) - \ell(f', X)) \leq d^2(f, f'),$$
  

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{n,j}} \left(\mathcal{E}_n(f^*, f) - \mathcal{E}(f^*, f)\right)\right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^{2^j \epsilon_n} \sqrt{\log\left\{2N_{[]}\left(\mathcal{L}_{n,j}, L^2(\mathsf{P}), \tau\right)\right\}} d\tau$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{n,j} = \{\ell(f^{\star}) - \ell(f) : f \in \mathcal{F}_{n,j}\}$ , and moreover,

$$N_{[]}(\mathcal{L}_{n,j}, L^2(\mathsf{P}), \tau) \leq N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}_{n,j}, L^2(\mathsf{P}), C\tau) \leq N_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, L^2(\mathsf{P}), C\tau).$$

Assume that

$$\log \mathit{N}_{[]}(\mathcal{F}, \mathit{L}^2(\mathsf{P}), au) \lesssim au^{-
ho}$$

for some  $0 < \rho < 2$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2^{j}\epsilon_{n}}\sqrt{\log\left\{2\mathsf{N}_{[]}\left(\mathcal{L}_{n,j},L^{2}(\mathsf{P}),\tau\right)\right\}}\mathsf{d}\tau &\lesssim \int_{0}^{2^{j}\epsilon_{n}}\tau^{-\rho/2}\mathsf{d}\tau \\ &= (2^{j}\epsilon_{n})^{1-\rho/2} \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\mathsf{P}\left(d(\hat{f}_n, f^{\star}) \geq \epsilon_n\right) \lesssim \epsilon_n^{-\rho/2-1}/\sqrt{n}$$

which yields the convergence rate

$$\epsilon_n \ge n^{-1/(2+
ho)} \log n$$

#### <ロト < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# References I

- Jean-Yves Audibert and Alexandre B Tsybakov. Fast learning rates for plug-in classifiers. *The Annals of statistics*, 35(2):608–633, 2007.
- [2] Pascal Massart. Concentration inequalities and model selection. 2007.
- [3] Aad W Van Der Vaart and Jon A Wellner. Weak convergence and empirical processes. Springer, 1996.